DATE: 26 June, 2024 FOR: Nordbalt-Ecosafe Project

SWAT+ model protocol for Hobølelva catchment, Norway

DATE:26 June, 2024AUTHORS:Csilla Farkas, Moritz Shore, Mojtaba ShafieiCITE AS:Farkas Cs., Shore M., Shafiei M. 2024. SWAT+ model protocol for Hobølelva,
Norway, 14 p.

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Code versions used	1
Weather input data used	5
GIS input data used	5
Stream discharge data used for calibration	5
Additional data	7
Model setup	7
Delineation	7
HRU creation	3
Selecting the method for calculating the potential evapotranspiration)
Water abstractions)
Verification and (Soft-) Calibration10)
Summary14	1
References	1

Introduction

The Hobølelva catchment, located in S-E Norway covers approximately half of the area of the Vansjø-Hobøl catchment (Fig. 1), which is the pilot area of implementing the WFD in Norway. The catchment area is 333 km². The upstream part of the Hobølelva River runs through forests and lakes (main upstream lakes being Lakes Sætertjern, Bindingsvann, Langen, Våg and Mjær). Downstream of Lake Mjær the river changes character as it enters into marine clay deposits. This lower part of the catchment covers about 186 km², and the river is here characterised by meanders through agricultural fields. The Kråkstadelva is the main tributary of this 186 km² area, which also drains agricultural fields and joins the main river some 12 km downstream of Lake Mjær. Downstream of the confluence, the Hobølelva River runs through two waterfalls; the first is called Høgfoss where there is a mill and a stage gauge, and the second is called Kurefoss, where there is a monitoring station for water quality.

The river drains into Lake Vansjø (25 m a.s.l.) covering an area of 36 km² with a mean depth of 7 m. In terms of floods, the Hobølelva River and Lake Vansjø are considered as parts of an interlinked system: when the water discharge in the Hobølelva River increases, the shores of Lake Vansjø often get inundated by flood water. This is mainly due to the narrow outlet of the lake. The outlet is controlled by a dam, but even when all gates of the dam are open, the narrow straits leading down to the dam slows down the water flow and cause inundation of agricultural lands upstream.

According to the monitoring station at Høgfoss, the annual runoff in the Hobølelva River at Høgfoss is 4.5 m³/s (1977-2002), thus comprising about 40% of the water discharge into Lake Vansjø.

In the Hobølelva catchment, as in most of Norway, floods typically occur during spring (snowmelt) and autumn (heavy rains). Floods can, however, also occur during summer (heavy rains) and winter (melting-freezing episodes, as well as rainstorms). The geography and hydrology of this catchment also contribute to the flood risk pressure. When the water discharge in the Hobølelva River increases, the shores of Lake Vansjø often get inundated by flood water. Extreme storm events cause damages amounting to several million Norwegian kroner each year. In addition to agricultural runoff and soil erosion, the erosion of river banks due to floods results in a marked increase in both suspended sediment and nutrient loads (the marine clay is rich in the phosphorus-rich mineral apatite). In the last few years, clay avalanches have contributed significantly to increased suspended sediments and phosphorus in the river system (Recare Project Report, 2018)



The Hobølelva catchment

Figure 1. The Hobølelva catchment within the Vansjø-Hobøl river system

Code versions used

Code	Version	Availability
	number	
QGIS	3.28.15	QGIS used as a basis for running the QSWAT+ plugin. In this project, the latest long-term
		release was used, which is the version that QSWAT+ aims to be compatible with. This can be
		downloaded from: https://gis.org/downloads/QGIS-OSGeo4W-3.28.15-1.msi
SWAT+	61.0.64	The SWAT+ FORTRAN code is version controlled through bitbucket. Official code releases are
(core		available here:
model)		https://bitbucket.org/blacklandgrasslandmodels/modular_swatplus/src/master/
QSWAT+	2.4.7	Code and official installer releases (including v2.1.8) are available here:
(interface)		https://bitbucket.org/ChrisWGeorge/qswatplus3/downloads/
SWAT+	2.3.3	Code and official installer releases (including v2.3.3.) are available here:
Editor		https://bitbucket.org/swatplus/swatplus.editor/downloads/
(interface)		Direct link: https://plus.swat.tamu.edu/downloads/2.3/2.3.3/editor/swatplus.editor-2.3.3c-
		windows.zip
Miljøtools	0.3.2	R-package for downloading the Met Norway re-analyses dataset
Handling		https://moritzshore.github.io/miljotools/
MetNordic		
SWATprepR	1.0.4	R-package for preparing input data for the SWAT+ model.
(input data		https://biopsichas.github.io/SWATprepR/
preparation)		
SWATdoctR	1.0	SWATdoctR is a collection of functions and routines for SWAT model calibation and model
(model		diagnostics and was applied for model verification and soft calibration of the hydrological
setup		routines.
verification)		https://git.ufz.de/schuerz/swatdoctr
SoftCal	0.2.0.	R-script for SWAT+ model soft calibration, focusing specifically on crop parameters, crop
		development and yields. Available from EU OPTAIN Project coordinators (UFZ) up to request.
SWAT+	1.0.5	Sensitivity and calibration tool for SWAT+. Installers for the public releases is available here:
Toolbox		https://swat.tamu.edu/media/3u4bhkyo/swatplus-toolbox-v105-installer.zip
(calibration		Source code for the SWAT+ Toolbox is available through github:
tool)		https://github.com/OpenWaterNetwork/SWATPlus-Toolbox
SWATrunR	0.1.3	R-package developed for hard calibration of the SWAT+ model
		https://chrisschuerz.github.io/SWATrunR/

Weather input data used

Data	Temporal resolution	Spatial resolution	Availability		
Precipitation	Daily	1 km grid	The <u>MET Nordic dataset of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute</u> consists of post-processed products that (a) describe the current and past weather (analyses), and (b) give our best estimate of the weather in the future (forecasts). The products integrate output from MetCoOp Ensemble Prediction System (MEPS) as well as measurements from various observational sources, including crowdsourced weather stations.		
Min. and max air temperature	Daily	1 km grid	 The MET Nordic dataset of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute consist post-processed products that (a) describe the current and past weather (analyses), and (b) give our best estimate of the weather in the future (forecasts). The products integrate output from MetCoOp Ensemble Predi System (MEPS) as well as measurements from various observational source including crowdsourced weather stations. The MET Nordic dataset of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute consist 		
Relative humidity	Daily	1 km grid	The <u>MET Nordic dataset of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute</u> consists of post-processed products that (a) describe the current and past weather (analyses), and (b) give our best estimate of the weather in the future (forecasts). The products integrate output from MetCoOp Ensemble Prediction System (MEPS) as well as measurements from various observational sources, including crowdsourced weather stations.		
Wind speed	Daily	1 km grid	The <u>MET Nordic dataset of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute</u> consists of post-processed products that (a) describe the current and past weather (analyses), and (b) give our best estimate of the weather in the future (forecasts). The products integrate output from MetCoOp Ensemble Prediction System (MEPS) as well as measurements from various observational sources, including crowdsourced weather stations.		
Radiation	Daily	1 km grid	The <u>MET Nordic dataset of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute</u> consists of post-processed products that (a) describe the current and past weather (analyses), and (b) give our best estimate of the weather in the future (forecasts). The products integrate output from MetCoOp Ensemble Prediction System (MEPS) as well as measurements from various observational sources, including crowdsourced weather stations.		

GIS input data used

Data	Мар	Resol ution	Availability
DEM	SRTM	10m raster	Terrain model of Norway in UTM33. The database can be downloaded from the Norwegian national website for map data and location information in Norway. <u>https://hoydedata.no/LaserInnsyn2/</u> <u>https://nedlasting.geonorge.no/Help/Api/GET-api-capabilities-metadataUuid</u>
Landuse	Corine	100m raster	The CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory from 2018. Raster and SWAT+ lookup table downloaded from <u>https://wateritech.com/datalab</u> . Dataset may be cited as EEA (2018).
Soil for cultivated areas	Jordsmonn	1km raster	Raw vector layer of soil types for agricultural areas (Jordsmonn, NIBIO) <u>https://kart8.nibio.no/nedlasting/dashboard</u>
Soil for forested areas	Løsmasser	raster	Soil forming materials, derived from the geological map of Norway (Løsmasser, NGU) for the forested areas. https://www.ngu.no/geologiske-kart/datasett
Lakes (optional)	HydroLakes	Vector (shape file)	https://temakart.nve.no/link/?link=innsjodatabase; https://www.hydrosheds.org/products/hydrolakes In Norway there are only information for large lakes. In this study we also rely on global lake database which has information for lakes larger than 10ha. I.e. seven lakes of our case study.
Rivers (optional)	Channel layer	Vector (shape file)	Catchment boundaries and stream network from the Norwegian Water and Energy Directorate (NVE)
Catchment boundary (optional)		Vector (shape file	https://nedlasting.nve.no/gis/ and https://nevina.nve.no/

Stream discharge data used for calibration

Data	Temporal resolution	Spatial resolution	Availability
Stream discharge	daily	Provided from Høgfoss monitoring station	Observed daily discharge data was downloaded from <u>https://hip.dataforsyningen.dk/</u> for the Grejs river "Planteskolen" station, and the Vejle river "Haraldskær" station, respectively.
Stream TN and TP concentrations	Every 2 nd week or monthly	Provided from Kure monitoring station	

Additional data

Atmospheric deposition	European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP)	EMEP data was processed using <u>SWATprepR</u>
Crop sequence map	Ministry of Agricultural register on subsidies received by the farmers for implementing various measures	Information on crop sequence was derived from the "subsidy database". Autumn cereals were assumed for areas that were not included in the database.
Management schedules	Norwegian Agricultural Environmental Monitoring Programme (JOVA)	Schedules were derived using information available for the Skuterudbekken catchment, which is located in the neighborhood of the pilot catchment. The JOVA database contains field-level detailed information for Skuterud on management and crop rotations for 30 years.

Model setup

Delineation	
Channel threshold:	2.5 km ² (minimum size of sub-watershed, and thereby a landscape unit (LSU), where a small channel that drains to a main stream is created). This threshold was adjusted to the size of the catchment. Before using the final value, several other options were tested visually.
Stream threshold:	12.5 km ² (minimum size of sub-watershed, where a main stream is created)
Upslope/Floodplain LSUs:	This is optional and was not used in the Hobølelva SWAT+ setup.
Lakes:	The Global Lake Database was used to implement the lakes in the model setup.



Figure 1. Watershed, subbasins and streams delineated by SWAT+ with floodplain delineation (areas delineated as floodplains are indicated by white areas). The stream gauge stations at Hobølelva river (Høgfoss, at outlet of channel # 184) is indicated by a yellow triangle.

HRU creation

The HRU's were creating using the land use map, the soil map and the defined slope classes. The following maps and tables were used:

- Land use Corine land cover raster by EEA 2018 and lookup table by NIBIO ECOSAFE team.
- Soil Soil map created by the NIBIO ECOSAFE team using the Jordsmonn soil raster (NIBIO) and the geological map of Norway (NGU). The look up table was taken from the OPTAIN project SWAT+ setup for Kråkstadelva, which contained almost all the needed soil types (Shore and Farkas, 2023). For the remaining soil types pedotransfer functions were used.
- Slope classes 4 classes (0-2%, 2-6%, 6-10% and > 10%).
- HRU filtering 5% threshold for landuse, 10% for soil type and slope class.

Maps used for delineating the HRU's



Figure 2. Illustration of the maps used for HRU delineation

Final configuration:				
# Total watershed area:	334.8 km ²			
# Subbasins:	19			
# LSUs:	184			
# HRUs	2,706			

Selecting the method for calculating the potential evapotranspiration

SWAT+ includes the choice of different evapotranspiration methods including Hargreaves, Penmann-Montieth, Priestly-Taylor or user defined time series. A study by Samadi (2017) suggested that Priestly-Taylor may provide best performance when simulating extreme events. Trolle and Nielsen (2020) found that Penmann-Montieth generally resulted in a better performance for a pilot area in Norway.

Within the ECOSAFE project, the SWATDoctR R-script was used to compare the two methods in simulating the evapotranspiration for the Hobølelva catchment. The evapotranspiration values simulated using different methods were compared with available data, information from literature review and expert estimates, collected within the frame of the IRIDA project (<u>http://www.waterjpi.eu/joint-calls/joint-call-2015-waterworks-2014/irida</u>). Similarly to the results reported by Trolle and Nielsen (2020), the Penmann-Montieth method performed better for the Hobølelva catchment.

Water abstractions

No water abstraction is included.

Verification, Calibration and Validation

Model calibration consisted of model verification, soft- and hard calibration in accordance with the following steps:

- Model verification using SWATdoctR. This R-package includes functions and routines for the evaluation of model performance, visualization, and diagnosis of simulation outputs. The verification of the SWAt+ model setup for Hobølelva was performed using the following steps:
 - Simulation of climate variables for the calibration period and analyzing the water balance components. Literature data, data from monitoring systems and expert judgment were used to verify the potential evapotranspiration (pet), plant transpiration (eplant) and evaporation from soil surface (esoil).



Figure 3. Summary of climate data checks for Hobølelva by the SWATdoctR

- Analyzing the annual average water balance elements with specific focus on water yield, drainage outflow (qtile), baseflow and seepage to the deep aquifer, whether their values and those of other water balance elements meet the expert's expectations.
- Checking the yields for the main crops (winter wheat and summer wheat) if they are comparable with the reported yields for the same period.



Figure 4. Water balance elements, simulated for the Hobølelva catchment

Soft calibration using the SoftCal R-script developed within the OPTAIN project. The script is capable to adjust the crop parameters via a sensitivity analyses by keeping the simulated yields within the observed range and the potential fraction of heat units at harvest (PHU) between 1 and approx. 1.1.

barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht
*****		<u>se and the set of the</u>	mention attained and the second	
-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2
barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht
		the second states of the second s		
-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2
barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht
		A.S		
heft had the test to take the test of the test of the strengt		A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER		
-1 0 1 2	-2 -1 0 1 2	-2 -1 0 1 2	-2 -1 0 1 2	-2 -1 0 1
barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht
$\frac{1}{100}$	1,1,25,00,140,000,14,0011,1001,0004,144	the state of the second		
-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	0.2 0.0 0.2
barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht
		• • • • • • • • •		
mat a stration fishthat date a set of		No. of the second s	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT	
-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2	-0.2 0.0 0.2
barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht
· +++=+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A start and an an and a start a start a start a start a start and	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	A president and the second second second	the state of the s

Figure 5. Sensitivity of yield simulated for different crops against various crop parameters.



Figure 6. Boxplots of simulated yields, biomass and PHU values. Red lines represent observed data (yields) and expected PHU range.

- Hard calibration using SWATrunR. The calibration was performed by optimization of the Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE). Percent bias, which is a residual objective function, was also evaluated. Classification of the performance was done by comparing performance against the criteria reviewed by Moriasi et al. (2015). The followed time periods were used:
 - Warm-up period:
- 1. January 2012 31. December 2013 (2 years)
- Calibration period:
- Validation period:
- 1 January 2014 31. December 2016 (2 years)

1 January 2017 - 31. December 2018 (1 year)

narameter	Crops					
parameter	barl	oats	pota	swht	wwht	
	spring barley	spring oats	potato	spring wheat	winter wheat	
d_mat*	-10	-5	+50	+5	-40	
lai_pot**	0.20	0.25	-0.12	0.10	0.63	
harv_idx*	0.11	0.18	-0.20	0.16	0.29	
bm_e**	0.00	0.11	-0.17	-0.09	0.00	
frac_hu1*	-0.03	-0.03	0.00	0.00	-0.25	
tmp_base*	0.0	0.0	-4.4	0.0	0.0	
tmp_opt*	-8.0	-0.5	-2.0	-1.0	7.0	

Table 1. Crop parameters variations for target PHU fraction and yields calibration (* Absolute change ** Relative change).

Table 2 Performance evaluation criteria for recommended statistical performance measures for watershed models by Moriasi et al. (2015).

Objective	Output	Temporal		Performance Evalu	uation Criteria	
function	response	scale ^[1]	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
R ²	Flow ^[2]	D-M-A	R ² > 0.85	0.75 < R ² ≤ 0.85	$0.60 < R^2 \le 0.75$	R ² ≤ 0.60
	Sediment/P	Μ	R ² > 0.80	$0.65 < R^2 \le 0.80$	$0.40 < R^2 \le 0.65$	R ² ≤ 0.40
	Ν	Μ	R ² > 0.70	$0.60 < R^2 \le 0.70$	$0.30 < R^2 \le 0.60$	R ² ≤ 0.30
NSE	Flow	D-M-A	NSE > 0.80	0.70 < NSE ≤ 0.80	0.50 < NSE ≤ 0.70	NSE ≤ 0.50
	Sediment	Μ	NSE > 0.80	0.70 < NSE ≤ 0.80	0.45 < NSE ≤ 0.70	NSE ≤ 0.45
	N/P	Μ	NSE > 0.65	0.50 < NSE ≤ 0.65	0.35 < NSE ≤ 0.50	NSE ≤ 0.35
PBIAS	Flow	D-M-A	PBIAS ≤ ±5	$\pm 5 \le PBIAS < \pm 10$	$\pm 10 \le PBIAS < \pm 15$	$PBIAS \ge \pm 15$
(%)	Sediment	D-M-A	$PBIAS \le \pm 10$	$\pm 10 \le PBIAS < \pm 15$	$\pm 15 \le PBIAS < \pm 20$	$PBIAS \ge \pm 20$
	N/P	D-M-A	$PBIAS \le \pm 15$	$\pm 15 \leq PBIAS < \pm 20$	$\pm 20 \le PBIAS < \pm 20$	$PBIAS \ge \pm 30$

[1] D, M and A denote daily, monthly, and annual temporal scales, respectively.

[2] Includes stream flow, surface runoff, base flow, and tile flow, as appropriate, for watershed models.

Table 3	. Performance	of SWAT+	model the	Hobølelva	river d	at Høgfoss
---------	---------------	----------	-----------	-----------	---------	------------

	CALIBRATION				VALIDATION			
		DAY	MONTH		DAY		MONTH	
NSE	0.73	good	0.84	very good	0.70	good	0.74	good
PBIAS	3.3	very good	3.3	very good	6.2	good	6.2	good

[1] Classification according to Moriasi et al. (2015) noted in parenthesis.



Figure 7. Observed and simulated discharge for Hobølelva river, at the "Høgfoss" gauge station for the calibration (2014-2015) and validation (2016-2017) periods for the calibrated model.

Summary

A SWAT+ model was set up from scratch for the Hobølelva catchment rivers systems. Data used in the SWAT+ project are all available at national level, and therefore the SWAT+ approach used in the project can be applied all across Norway. The SWAT+ model was calibrated on a daily time step and produced generally very good results for river discharge at the Høgfoss monitoring station.

The calibration work for water quality indicators is still in progress. We use the SWATrunR R-package for this, as the SWAT+ Toolbox is not capable to calibrate TN and TP.

References

Please, note, that most of the references are given as links within the text of the report.

EEA. 2018. CORINE Land Cover (CLC), © European Union, Copernicus Land Monitoring Service 2018, European Environment Agency (EEA), <u>https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover</u>.

Moriasi, D.N., Gitau, M.W, Pai, N., and Daggupati, P. 2015. Hydrologic and Water Quality Models: Performance Measures and Evaluation Criteria. Transactions of the ASABE. 58(6): 1763-1785. doi: 10.13031/trans.58.10715.

Trolle, D., Nielsen, A. 2020. SWAT+ model protocol for Vejle pilot. Report by WaterITech prepared for DMI, December 2020, 12p.