Understanding the genetic components of deep root phenes, and developing a toolbox for breeders



Eric Ober Genetics and Breeding Department National Institute of Agricultural Botany (NIAB) Cambridge, UK





--John E. Weaver (1926) *Root Development of Field Crops*,



IWYP122: Root^y: A root ideotype toolbox to support improved wheat yields





International Wheat Yield Partnership

Research to Deliver Wheat for the Future

FIG. 73.-Mature root system of winter wheat.

1.NIAB, Cambridge, UK Eric Ober, Pauline Thomelin, James Cockram Emma Wallington, Emily Marr 2. John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK Cristobal Uauy, James Simmonds 3. University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia Lee Hickey, Kai Voss-Fels, C. Rambla 4. University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy Silvio Salvi, Marco Maccaferri R. Tuberosa, G. Sciara, D Ormanbekova 5. Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany Rod Snowdon, Manar Makhoul, Christian Obermeier 6.Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany Michelle Watt, Josefine Kant, Vera Hecht Tanja Ehrlich, Onno Muller 7.CIMMYT, Texcoco, México Matthew Reynolds, Francisco Pinto

Private Industry Partners

- KWS UK Ltd, UK
- Longreach Plant Breeders, Australia
- Limagrain UK Ltd, UK



Root^y: A root ideotype toolbox to support improved wheat yields

Aims of the project:

Research to Deliver Wheat for the Future

- 1. Provide characterised root alleles backcrossed into elite, high-yielding NW-European, Australian and CIMMYT lines
- 2. Provide a suite of genetic markers that can be used in various combinations to create an arsenal of root ideotypes to support high yields for a diversity of farmed environments
- 3. Test hypotheses on how different root phenotypes can contribute to yield potential
- 4. Provide know-how on advanced root phenotyping for controlled environments and field trials

Seedling root screening reveals genetic diversity for seminal root angle

- Use 202 lines of Avalon x
 Cadenza DH population
- Wide root angle (A) vs narrow root angle (B)
- Root angle is measured as the angle between the first pair of seminal roots (C).

Emily Marr (NIAB/U. Cambridge

Methods: high throughput seedling root screening using the 'clear pot' method

Emily Marr (NIAB/U. Cambridge

Imaging box

A QTL on chromosome 4D is associated with root angle

- QTL identified by integrated composite interval mapping (ICIM)
- Only 4D QTL was consistent across 3 independent experiments
- D genome has a low marker density -> the QTL region is large.

Deletion lines help to refine the QTL region

† significantly different from other deletion lines ‡ significantly different from wildtype

Chinese Spring lines with deletions overlapping with the QTL region were screened

Emily Marr (NIAB/U. Cambridge

Wheat varieties differ in rhizosheath size

- A has a larger rhizosheath than C relative to root weight.
- There is significant variation in polysaccharide release within the AxC DH mapping population

Polysaccharide secretion varies within the AxC doubled haploid wheat population

Data: Emily Marr, Univ. Cambridge/ NIAB PhD student Shovelomics of landrace and elite wheat lines under conventional and non-inversion tillage systems NIAB and Organic Research Centre, 2016 & 2017

- Typical image of excavated, washed root system
- Different root classes visible
- Root angle can be measured

Examining roots *in situ* in the field... Informative, but hard work and not exactly high throughput

Smith, C (2002) Root growth of sugar beet varieties in response to soil mechanical impedance and drought. MPhil, Aberdeen Univ.

Estimating root biomass via wheat root DNA

- Species-specific (can differentiate wheat from weed (blackgrass)
- Semi-quantitative
- Can detect spatial differences in root placement
- Soils must be dried and milled for homogeneous subsampling
- Labour and kit costs to consider

Credit: Huw Jones (NIAB)

Inferring root activity by measuring patterns in soil water extraction using an FDR* capacitance-type soil moisture probe

*Frequency domain reflectrometry

Ober ES, Sharp RE (2013) Maintaining root growth in drying soil: a review of progress and gaps in understanding. In, Plant Roots: The Hidden Half, 4th Edition. A Eshel, T Beeckman, eds. CRC Press.

Wheat varieties differ in the ability to extract water from deep soil layers under droughted conditions $_0$ $_{\perp}$

2009

Xi19

Deben

20

Measured every 10 cm over the period 2 weeks pre-anthesis to 2 weeks postanthesis

drought tolerance in wheat. Functional Plant Biology 41, 1078-1086.

Using electromagnetic-induction (EMI) for rapid root phenotyping in the field: fast, non-invasive, computationally demanding

NIAB

Shanahan et al. (2015) The Use of Electromagnetic Induction to Monitor Changes in Soil Moisture Profiles beneath Different Wheat Genotypes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **79, 459-466.**

EMI soil moisture profiles derived from conductivity inversions

- Genotypic differences in soil water uptake are detected during a period of soil drying
- Not measuring roots directly, but inferring root activity

Movement of drying front during season

Shanahan et al. (2015)

Root mass distribution in the soil profile measured in soil cores at maturity.

Nathan Morris David Clarke

Root mass distribution in the soil profile measured in soil cores at maturity in wheat and barley

Winter wheat showed significantly (P < 0.01) more root mass in upper soil layers than winter barley. There was more root mass under shallow non-inversion tillage, except in the deepest layers (P < 0.05).

"There is no easy method of uncovering the root system, and unless one is willing to spend considerable time and energy, and exercise a great deal of patience, it is better not to begin. But once started, the work, although difficult, is very interesting and in fact even fascinating."

--John E. Weaver (1926) *Root Development of Field Crops*, p257.

